arXiv:1703.00442v1 [math.AC] 1 Mar 2017

FFRT PROPERTIES OF HYPERSURFACES AND THEIR F-SIGNATURES

KHALED ALHAZMY AND MORDECHAI KATZMAN

Abstract. This paper studies properties of certain hypersurfaces in prime characteristic: we give a sufficient and necessary conditions for some classes of such hypersurfaces to have Finite F-representation Type (FFRT) and we compute the F-signatures of these hypersurfaces. The main method used in this paper is based on finding explicit matrix factorizations.

1. Introduction

For any commutative ring R of prime characteristic p, R-module M, and $e \geq 0$ we can construct a new R-module $F^e_* M$ with elements $\{F^e_* m \,|\, m \in M\}$, abelian group structure $(F^e_* m_1) + (F^e_* m_2) = F^e_*(m_1 + m_2)$ and R-module structure given by $r F^e_* m = F^e_* r^{p^e} m$. In this paper we study properties of the modules $F^e_* R$ for various hypersurfaces R.

Smith and Van den Bergh introduced in [SV97] the first property studied in this paper, namely *Finite F-representation Type* (henceforth abbreviated *FFRT*) which we describe in some detail in Section 2. We say that R has FFRT if there exists a finite set of indecomposable R-modules $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \ldots, M_s\}$ such that for all $e \geqslant 0$, $F^e_* R$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of summands in $\mathcal{M}$. Rings with FFRT have very good properties, e.g., with some additional hypothesis their rings of differential operators are simple [SV97, Theorem 4.2.1], their Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities are rational (cf. [Sei97]), tight closure commutes with localization in such rings (cf. [Yao05]), local cohomology modules of R have finitely many associated primes ([DQ16]), and F-jumping coefficients in R form discrete sets ([KSSZ13]).

The main tool in this paper, developed in sections 3 and 4, is an explicit presentation of $F^e_* R$ as cokernel of a matrix factorization. In section 5 we classify those F-finite hypersufaces of the form

$K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n, u, v]\!]/(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) + uv)$ which have FFRT in terms of the hypersurfaces defined by the powers of f. As a corollary we construct in section 6 examples of rings that have FFRT but not finite CM representation type.

The last two sections of this paper analyze the *F-signatures* of some hypersurfaces. We recall the following definition

Definition 1.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be a d-dimensional F-finite Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic p. If $[K : K^p] < \infty$ is the dimension of K as K^p-vector space and $\alpha(R) = \log_p[K : K^p]$, then the* F-signature of R, *denoted by $\mathbb{S}(R)$, is defined as*

$$\mathbb{S}(R) = \lim_{e\to\infty} \frac{\sharp(F^e_*(R), R)}{p^{e(d+\alpha(R))}} \tag{1}$$

where $\sharp(F^e_*(R), R)$ denotes the maximal rank of a free direct summand of $F^e_*(R)$.

F-signatures were first defined by C. Huneke and G. Leuschke in [HL02] for F-finite local rings of prime characteristic with perfect residue field. In [Yao06] Y. Yao extended the definition of F-signature to arbitrary local rings without the assumptions that the residue field be perfect and recently K. Tucker proved in [Tu12] that the limit in (1) always exists.

The F-signature seems to give subtle information on the singularities of R. For example, the F-signature of any of the two-dimensional quotient singularities (A_n), (D_n), (E_6), (E_7), (E_8) is the reciprocal of the order of the group G defining the singularity [HL02, Example 18]. In [AL03] I. Aberbach and G. Leuschke proved that the F-signature is positive if and only if R is strongly F-regular. Furthermore, We have $\mathbb{S}(R) \leq 1$ with equality if and only if R is regular (cf. [Tu12, Theorem 4.16] and [HL02, Corollary 16]).

In sections 7 and 8 we apply the methods developed in sections 3 and 4 in order to find explicit expressions for the F-signatures of the rings $K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n, u, v]\!]/(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)+uv)$ and $K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n, z]\!]/(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)+z^2)$ where f is a monomial.

Throughout this paper, we shall assume all rings are commutative with a unit, Noetherian, and have prime characteristic $p > 0$ unless otherwise is stated. We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ (and $\mathbb{Z}_+$) the set of the positive integers (and non-negative integers) respectively. We let $q = p^e$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Rings of finite F-representation type

Throughout this section R denotes a ring of prime characteristic p. In what follows we gather some properties of the modules $F^e_*(M)$ introduced in the previous section and introduce several concepts related to FFRT.

Recall that a non-zero finitely generated module M over a local ring R is Cohen-Macaulay if $\operatorname{depth}_R M = \dim M$ and hence R is Cohen-Maculay ring if R itself is a Cohen-Macaulay module. However, if $\operatorname{depth}_R M = \dim R$, M is called maximal Cohen-Macaulay module (or MCM module). When M is a finitely generated module over non-local ring R, M is Cohen-Macualy if $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$.

Later in the paper we will look at the modules $F^e_*(R)$ when R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Note that a regular sequence on an R-module M is also a regular sequence on $F^e_* M$, and in particular, if R is Cohen-Macaulay, $F^e_*(R)$ are MCM modules for all $e \geq 0$.

Definition 2.1. *A finitely generated R-module M is said to have finite F-representation type (henceforth abbreviated FFRT) by finitely generated R-modules $M_1, \ldots, M_s$ if for every positive integer e, the R-module $F^e_*(M)$ can be written as a finite direct sum in which each direct summand is isomorphic to some module in $\{M_1, \ldots, M_s\}$, that is, there exist non-negative integers $t_{(e,1)}, \ldots, t_{(e,s)}$ such that*

$$F^e_*(M) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} M_j^{\oplus t_{(e,j)}}.$$

We say that M has FFRT if M has FFRT by some finite set of R-modules $M_1, \ldots, M_s$

If $W \subset R$ is a multiplicatively closed set and $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal, then for a finitely generated R-module M one can check that $F^e_*(W^{-1}M)$ is isomorphic to $W^{-1}F^e_*(M)$ as $W^{-1}R$-module and $F^e_*(\widehat{M_I})$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{F^e_*(M)}_I$ as $\hat{R}_I$-modules, where $\widehat{\ }_I$ denotes completion at I. This yields the following remark

Remark 2.2. [Yao05, Remark 1.2] *If M has FFRT , then*

(a) *For any multiplicatively closed set W in R, the localization $W^{-1}M$ also has FFRT as $W^{-1}R$-module.*
(b) *For any ideal I of R, the I-adic completion of M also has FFRT as $\hat{R}_I$-module.*

Examples of rings with FFRT can be found in [TT08] and [Shi11]. One such class of examples are *rings of finite CM representation type*. These are Cohen-Macaulay rings R with the property that the set of all isomorphism classes of finitely generated, irreducible, MCM R-modules is finite. When R is Cohen-Macaulay any direct summand of $F^e_*(R)$ is a MCM module, hence finite Cohen-Macalay representation type implies

FFRT. The converse is not true: we explore some examples of these in section 6.

3. Matrix Factorization

In this section, we discuss the concept of a *matrix factorization* and many basic properties that we need later in the rest of this paper. We start by fixing some notations and stating some observations about the matrices and a cokernel of a matrix.

If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $M_{m\times n}(R)$ (and $M_n(R)$) denotes the set of all $m \times n$ (and $n \times n$) matrices over a ring R. If $A \in M_{n\times m}(R)$ is the matrix representing the R-linear map $\phi : R^n \longrightarrow R^m$ that is given by $\phi(X) = AX$ for all $X \in R^n$, then we write $A : R^n \longrightarrow R^m$ to denote the R-linear map ϕ and $\mathrm{Cok}_R(A)$ denotes the cokernl of ϕ while $\mathrm{Im}_R(A)$ denotes the image of ϕ. We omit the subscript R if it is known from the context. If $A, B \in M_n(R)$, we say that A is equivalent to B if there exist invertible matrices $U, V \in M_n(R)$ such that $A = UBV$. If $A, B \in M_n(R)$ are equivalent matrices, then $\mathrm{Cok}_R(A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_R(B)$ as R-modules. Furthermore, If $A \in M_n(R)$ and $B \in M_m(R)$, then we define $A \oplus B$ to be the matrix in $M_{m+n}(R)$ that is given by $A\oplus B = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$. In this case, $\mathrm{Cok}_R(A\oplus B) = \mathrm{Cok}_R(A)\oplus\mathrm{Cok}_R(B)$.

Let $\mathfrak{P}$ denote a ring with identity that is not necessarily commutative. Let m and n be positive integers. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}$, $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$, then $L^{m\times n}_{i,j}(\lambda)$ (and $L^n_{i,j}(\lambda)$) denotes the $m \times n$ (and $n \times n$) matrix whose (i, j) entry is λ and the rest are all zeros. When $i \neq j$, we write $E^n_{i,j}(\lambda) := I_n + L^n_{i,j}(\lambda)$ where I_n is the identity matrix in $M_n(R)$. If there is no ambiguity, we write $E_{i,j}(\lambda)$ (and $L_{i,j}(\lambda)$) instead of $E^n_{i,j}(\lambda)$ (and $L^n_{i,j}(\lambda)$).

The following lemmas describe an equivalence between specific matrices that are basic for our work in the rest of this paper.

Lemma 3.1. *Let m be an integer with $m \ge 2$ and $n = 2m$. If A is a matrix in $M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ that is given by*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} b & & & & & & x \\ 0 & b & & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & b & & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & b & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & b & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$$

then there exist two invertible matrices $M, N \in M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ satisfying:

(a) *M has the form*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & \tilde{M} \\ & I_{2(m-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where I_2 and $I_{2(m-1)}$ are the identity matrices in $M_2(\mathfrak{P})$ and $M_{2(m-1)}(\mathfrak{P})$ respectively, and $\tilde{M}$ is a $2 \times 2(m-1)$ matrix over $\mathfrak{P}$.

(b) *N has the form*

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{1,3} & & \cdots & & a_{1,n} \\ & 1 & 0 & a_{2,4} & & & \\ & & 1 & 0 & & & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & a_{n-2,n} \\ & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)

$$MAN = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & (-1)^{m-1}b^m & & x \\ 0 & 0 & & & & & & (-1)^{m-1}b^m \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Use row and column operations and the induction on m. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n = 2m+1$ where m is an integer with $m \geq 2$ and let A be a matrix in $M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ given by*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} b & & & & & x & 0 \\ 0 & b & & & & & y \\ 1 & 0 & b & & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & b & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & b & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$$

Then there exist invertible matrices M and N in $M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ such that

$$MAN = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & & x & (-1)^m b^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & & & & (-1)^{m-1} b^{\frac{n-1}{2}} & & y \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the $2m \times 2m$ matrix given by

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} b & & & & & & x \\ 0 & b & & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & b & & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & b & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & b & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|c} & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & y \\ & & & \tilde{A} & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & & b \end{array}\right]$$

Now use Lemma 3.1 ,and appropriate row and column operations to get the result. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let n be an integer with $n \geq 2$. If $A \in M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ is given by*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} b & & & & \\ 1 & b & & & \\ & 1 & b & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & b \end{bmatrix}$$

there exist upper triangular matrices $B, C \in M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ such that the (i,i) entry of B and C is the identity element of $\mathfrak{P}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and

$$BAC = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & (-1)^{n+1}b^n \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Use row and column operations and the induction on $n \geq 2$ to prove this lemma. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let n be a positive integer such that $n \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and let $m = n - k$. Suppose that u and v are two variables on $\mathfrak{P}$ and let $A_1^{(k)} \in M_k(\mathfrak{P})$ and $A_2^{(k)} \in M_m(\mathfrak{P})$ be given by $A_1^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} b & & & & \\ 1 & b & & & \\ & 1 & b & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & b \end{bmatrix}$ and $A_2^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} b & & & & \\ 1 & b & & & \\ & 1 & b & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & b \end{bmatrix}$.*

$$\text{If } B_k = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_1^{(k)} & L_{1,m}^{k\times m}(v) \\ \hline L_{1,k}^{m\times k}(u) & A_2^{(k)} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc|ccccc} b & & & & & & & & & v \\ 1 & b & & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & b & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & u & & b & & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & b & & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & b \end{array}\right],$$

then B_k is equivalent to the matrix $C_k = I_{n-2} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k+1}b^k & v \\ u & (-1)^{m+1}b^m \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ where I_{n-2} is the identity matrix in $M_{n-2}(\mathfrak{P})$.

Moreover, if $D \in M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ is given by $D = \begin{bmatrix} b & & & & uv \\ 1 & b & & & \\ & 1 & b & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & b \end{bmatrix}$,

then D is equivalent to the matrix $\tilde{D} = I_{n-2} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^n b^n & uv \\ 1 & b \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ where I_{n-2} is the identity matrix in $M_{n-2}(\mathfrak{P})$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, there exist upper triangular matrices $B_1, C_1 \in M_k(\mathfrak{P})$ and $B_2, C_2 \in M_{n-k}(\mathfrak{P})$ with 1 along their diagonal such that

$$B_1 A_1^{(k)} C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & (-1)^{k+1} b^k \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 A_2^{(k)} C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & (-1)^{m+1} b^m \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $m = n - k$. Define $B, C \in M_n(\mathfrak{P})$ to be $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Using appropriate row and column operations on the matrix BB_kC yields the required result. Applying a similar argument on the matrix D proves the corresponding result. □

If A and B are matrices in $M_n(R)$ such that $\mathrm{Cok}_R(A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_R(B)$, this does not imply in general that A is equivalent to B [LR74]. However, the following lemma asserts that this is true over local rings.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and let $A \in M_s(R)$ and $B \in M_t(R)$ be two matrices determining the R-linear maps $A : R^s \to R^s$ and $B : R^t \to R^t$ such that A and B are injective and all entries of A and B are in $\mathfrak{m}$. If $\mathrm{Cok}_R(A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_R(B)$ as R-modules, then $s = t$ and A is equivalent to B.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R^t & \xrightarrow{B} & R^t & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Cok_R(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \beta\downarrow & & \alpha\downarrow & & \mu\downarrow\approx & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R^s & \xrightarrow{A} & R^s & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Cok_R(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The projectivity of R^t induces the R-linear map α that makes the right square of the diagram commutes. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha B) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(A)$, the projectivity of R^t induces β that makes the diagram commutative. The fact that all entries of A are in $\mathfrak{m}$ yields that $\mathrm{Im}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}R^s$. Now, if $y \in R^s$, there exists $x \in R^t$ such that $\pi(y) = \mu\delta(x) = \pi\alpha(x)$. Therefore, $y - \alpha(x) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\pi) = \mathrm{Im}(A)$ and then $y \in \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) + \mathrm{Im}(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{m}R^s$. This implies that $R^s = \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{m}R^s$ and hence by Nakayamma lemma it follows that α is surjective. The surjectivity of α shows that $t \geq s$. By similar argument we show that $s \geq t$ and hence $s = t$. Since $\alpha : R^s \to R^s$ is surjective, α is isomorphism [Mat86, Theorem 2.4]. The five lemma confirms that β is isomorphism too. If U and V are the invertible matrices representing α and β respectively, then $UB = AV$ as desired.

□

Matrix factorizations were introduced by David Eisenbud in [Eis80] as a means of compactly describing the minimal free resolutions of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules that have no free direct summands over a local hypersurface ring.

Definition 3.6. [EP15, Definition 1.2.1] *Let f be a non-zero element of a commutative ring S.*

A matrix factorization of f is a pair (ϕ, ψ) of homomorphisms between finitely generated free S-modules $\phi : G \to F$ and $\psi : F \to G$, such that $\psi\phi = fI_G$ and $\phi\psi = fI_F$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let f be a non-zero element of a commutative ring S. If $(\phi : G \to F, \psi : F \to G)$ is a matrix factorization of f, then:*

(a) $f \operatorname{Cok}(\phi) = f \operatorname{Cok}(\psi) = 0$.
(b) *If f is a non-zerodivisor, then ϕ and ψ are injective.*
(c) *If S is a domain, then G and F are finitely generated free modules having the same rank.*

Proof. It is easy to show (a) and (b) but (c)can be proved using the same argument as in [LW12, Page 127].

□

As a result, we can define the matrix factorization of a non-zero element f in a domain as the following.

Definition 3.8. *Let S be a domain and let $f \in S$ be a non-zero element. A matrix factorization is a pair (ϕ, ψ) of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in S such that $\psi\phi = \phi\psi = fI_n$ where I_n is the identity matrix in $M_n(S)$. By $\operatorname{Cok}_S(\phi, \psi)$ and $\operatorname{Cok}_S(\psi, \phi)$, we mean $\operatorname{Cok}_S(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{Cok}_S(\psi)$ respectively. There are two distinguished trivial matrix factorizations of any element f, namely $(f, 1)$ and $(1, f)$. Note that $\operatorname{Cok}_S(1, f) = 0$, while $\operatorname{Cok}_S(f, 1) = S/fS$. Two matrix factorizations (ϕ, ψ) and (α, β) of f are said to be equivalent and we write $(\phi, \psi) \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ if $\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta \in M_n(S)$ for some positive integer n and there exist invertible matrices $V, W \in M_n(S)$ such that $V\phi = \alpha W$ and $W\psi = \beta V$. If $(S, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local domain, a matrix factorization (ϕ, ψ) of an element $f \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}$ is reduced if all entries of ϕ and ψ are in $\mathfrak{m}$.*

Remark 3.9. *Let $(S, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring, $f \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}$, $R = S/fS$ and let u and v be two variables. Suppose that (ϕ, ψ) and (α, β) are two $n \times n$ matrix factorizations of f. Then*

(a) *$\operatorname{Cok}_S(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{Cok}_S(\psi)$ are both modules over the ring R as $f \operatorname{Cok}_S(\phi) = 0$ and $f \operatorname{Cok}_S(\psi) = 0$.*
(b) *The S-linear maps $\phi : S^n \to S^n$ and $\psi : S^n \to S^n$ are both injective.*

(c) *If* $(\phi,\psi)\sim(\alpha,\beta)$, *then* $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha,\beta)$ *over* S *(and consequently over* R*), likewise,* $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\psi,\phi)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\beta,\alpha)$ *over* S *(and consequently over* R*).*

(d) *If* (ϕ,ψ) *and* (α,β) *are reduced matrix factorizations of* f *such that* $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha,\beta)$*, then by Lemma 3.5 and the definition of the matrix factorization, it follows that* $(\phi,\psi)\sim(\alpha,\beta)$.

(e) *If* $(\phi,\psi)\oplus(\alpha,\beta):=(\phi\oplus\alpha,\psi\oplus\beta)$ *and* $(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}:=(\begin{bmatrix}\phi & -vI\\ uI & \psi\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}\psi & vI\\ -uI & \phi\end{bmatrix})$, *then* $(\phi,\psi)\oplus(\alpha,\beta)$ *is a matrix factorization of* f*, and* $(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}$ *is a matrix factorization for* $f+uv$ *in* $S[\![u,v]\!]$.

(f) *If* $(\phi,\psi)\sim(\alpha,\beta)$*, then* $(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}\sim(\alpha,\beta)^{\maltese}$.

(g) $[(\phi,\psi)\oplus(\alpha,\beta)]^{\maltese}$ *is equivalent to* $(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}\oplus(\alpha,\beta)^{\maltese}$.

(h) *If* $M=\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ *and* $N=\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha,\beta)$*, we write* $M^{\maltese}=\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}$ *and* $N^{\maltese}=\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha,\beta)^{\maltese}$ *(Notice here that the operation* $(-)^{\maltese}$ *depends on the the matrix factorization). As a result,* $(M\bigoplus N)^{\maltese}=M^{\maltese}\bigoplus N^{\maltese}$*. Furthermore, if* $M_i=\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi_i,\psi_i)$ *where* (ϕ_i,ψ_i) *is a matrix factorization of* f *for all* $1\leq i\leq n$*, it follows that* $(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n}M_j)^{\maltese}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n}M_j^{\maltese}$.

(i) *If* $R^{\bigstar}=S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$*, then* $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(f,1)^{\maltese}=R^{\bigstar}=\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(1,f)^{\maltese}$ *and hence we can write* $(R)^{\maltese}=R^{\bigstar}$ *as* $R=\mathrm{Cok}_S(f,1)$.

(j) *If* $(\phi,\psi)^{\sharp}:=(\begin{bmatrix}\phi & -zI\\ zI & \psi\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}\psi & zI\\ -zI & \phi\end{bmatrix})$*, then* $(\phi,\psi)^{\sharp}$ *is a matrix factorization of* $f+z^2$ *in* $S[\![z]\!]$.

(k) *If* $(\phi,\psi)\sim(\alpha,\beta)$*, then* $(\phi,\psi)^{\sharp}\sim(\alpha,\beta)^{\sharp}$.

(l) $[(\phi,\psi)\oplus(\alpha,\beta)]^{\sharp}$ *is equivalent to* $(\phi,\psi)^{\sharp}\oplus(\alpha,\beta)^{\sharp}$.

(m) *If* $R^{\sharp}=S[\![z]\!]/(f+z^2)$*, then* $R^{\sharp}=S[\![z]\!]/(f+z^2)=\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![z]\!]}(f,1)^{\sharp}=\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![z]\!]}(1,f)^{\sharp}$.

Proof. We will just prove the result (d) as the rest follows from the definitions.
Assume that (ϕ,ψ) and (α,β) are reduced matrix factorizations of f such that $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha,\beta)$. This means that $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha)$ and consequently from Lemma 3.5 it follows that $V\phi=\alpha W$ for invertible matrices V and W. Therefore, we have $V\phi\psi=\alpha W\psi$ and thus $V(fI)=\alpha W\psi$. As a result, we get $\beta V(fI)=\beta\alpha W\psi=fIW\psi$ and consequently $f\beta V=fW\psi$. Since f is an element of the integral domain S, we conclude that $\beta V=W\psi$. This proves that (ϕ,ψ) is equivalent to (α,β). □

A matrix factorization can be decomposed as follows.

Proposition 3.10. [Yosh90, Page58] *Let (S, m) be a regular local ring and $f \in m \setminus \{0\}$. Any matrix factorization (ϕ, ψ) of f can be written uniquely up to equivalence as*

$$(\phi, \psi) = (\alpha, \beta) \oplus (f, 1)^t \oplus (1, f)^r$$

with (α, β) reduced, i.e, all entries of α, β are in m, and with t, r non-negative integers.

The connection between the concept of the matrix factorization and the subject of MCM modules is describes in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.11. *[Prop. 8.3 in* [LW12]*]*
Let $(S, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring and let f be a non-zero element of $\mathfrak{m}^2$ and $R = S/fS$.

(a) *For every MCM R-module M, there is a matrix factorization (ϕ, ψ) of f with $\operatorname{Cok}\phi \cong M$.*

(b) *If (ϕ, ψ) is a matrix factorization of f, then $\operatorname{Cok}\phi$ and $\operatorname{Cok}\psi$ are MCM R-modules.*

A non-zero R-module M is decomposable provided there exist non-zero R-modules M_1, M_2 such that $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$; otherwise M is indecomposable. By $\sharp(M, R)$, we mean the maximal rank of a free direct summands of M. If M is an R-module that does not have a direct summand isomorphic to R, we say that M is stable R-module. Furthermore, if R is as in Proposition 3.11, the indecomposable non-free MCM modules over R and $R^{\bigstar}$ can be related in the following situation.

Proposition 3.12. [LW12, Theorem 8.30] *Let $(S, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be a complete regular local ring such that K is algebraically closed of characteristic not 2 and $f \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. If $R = S/fS$ and $R^{\bigstar} := S[\![u, v]\!]/(f + uv)$, then the association $M \to M^{\maltese}$ defines a bijection between the isomorphisms classes of indecomposable non-free MCM modules over R and $R^{\bigstar}$*

D. Eisenbud has established a relationship between reduced matrix factorizations and stable MCM modules as follows.

Proposition 3.13. [Yosh90, Corollary 7.6] [LW12, Theorem 8.7] *Let $(S, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring and let f be a non-zero element of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $R = S/fS$. Then the association $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \operatorname{Cok}(\phi, \psi)$ yields a bijective correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of reduced matrix factorizations of f and the set of isomorphism classes of stable MCM modules over R .*

One can use this proposition and Remark 3.9 to show the following corollary

Corollary 3.14. *Let $(S,\mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring , $f \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}$, $R = S/fS$, $R^{\bigstar} = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$, and $R^{\sharp} = S[\![z]\!]/(f+z^2)$ where u,v and z are variables over S . If (ϕ,ψ) is a matrix factorization of f having the decomposition*

$$(\phi,\psi) = (\alpha,\beta) \oplus (f,1)^t \oplus (1,f)^r$$

such that (α,β) is reduced and t,r are non-negative integers, then :

(a) $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi),R) = t$ *and* $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(\psi,\phi),R) = r$.
(b) $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese},R^{\bigstar}) = \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi),R) + \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(\psi,\phi),R)$.
(c) $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![z]\!]}(\phi,\psi)^{\sharp},R^{\sharp}) = \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi),R) + \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(\psi,\phi),R)$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $(S,\mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring, $f \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}$, and $R = S/fS$. If (ϕ,ψ) is a reduced matrix factorization of f, then*

$$(\phi,\psi) \sim [(\phi_1,\psi_1) \oplus (\phi_2,\psi_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\phi_n,\psi_n)]$$

where (ϕ_i,ψ_i) is a reduced matrix factorization of f with $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi_i,\psi_i)$ is non-free indecomposable MCM R-module for all $1 \le i \le n$. Furthermore, the above representation of (ϕ,ψ) is unique up to equivalence.

Proof. By Proposition 3.13, $M := \mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ is stable MCM R-module. We may assume that $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ where M_j is a non-free indecomposable MCM R-module for each $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ [BW13, Proposition 2.1]. Again by Proposition 3.13, we have $M_j = \mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi_j,\psi_j)$ for some reduced matrix factorization (ϕ_j,ψ_j) of f for all $1 \le j \le n$. As a result, $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_S[(\phi_1,\psi_1) \oplus (\phi_2,\psi_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\phi_n,\psi_n)]$ and hence by Remark 3.9(d), $(\phi,\psi) \sim [(\phi_1,\psi_1) \oplus (\phi_2,\psi_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\phi_n,\psi_n)]$. Now if $(\phi,\psi) \sim [(\alpha_1,\beta_1) \oplus (\alpha_2,\beta_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\alpha_m,\beta_m)]$ is another representation of (ϕ,ψ) and $N_j = \mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha_j,\beta_j)$ where (α_j,β_j) is a matrix factorization of f for all $1 \le j \le m$, then the completion with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ yields that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \widehat{M_i}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \widehat{N}_j$ as $\widehat{R}$-modules. By Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem, $n = m$ and, after renumbering, $\widehat{M_i} \cong \widehat{N}_i$ for each i. Therefore, $M_i \cong N_i$ for each i by [BW13, Corollary 3.7] and hence by Remark 3.9(d) $(\phi_i,\psi_i) \sim (\alpha_i,\beta_i)$ for each i. □

Proposition 3.16. *Let $(S,\mathfrak{m},K)$ be a complete regular local ring such that K is algebraically closed of characteristic not 2, and $f \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. let $R = S/fS$ and $R^{\bigstar} := S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$. If (ϕ,ψ) and (α,β) are reduced matrix factorizations of f, then $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha,\beta)$ over R if and only if $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha,\beta)^{\maltese}$ over $R^{\bigstar}$*

Proof.

The "only if" is obvious by Remark 3.9(d)and (f).

Assume that $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha,\beta)^{\maltese}$ over $R^{\bigstar}$. Using Lemma 3.15 we see that $(\phi,\psi) \sim [(\phi_1,\psi_1)\oplus(\phi_2,\psi_2)\oplus \cdots \oplus(\phi_n,\psi_n)]$ and $(\alpha,\beta)\sim[(\alpha_1,\beta_1)\oplus(\alpha_2,\beta_2)\oplus\cdots\oplus(\alpha_t,\beta_t)]$ where (ϕ_i,ψ_i) and (α_j,β_j) are reduced matrix factorizations of f satisfying that $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi_i,\psi_i)$ and $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha_j,\beta_j)$ are non-free indecomposable MCM R-module for all i and j. Remark 3.9 (f),(g) and (c) imply that

$$\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\phi,\psi)^{\maltese}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\phi_j,\psi_j)^{\maltese}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha,\beta)^{\maltese}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t}\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha_i,\beta_i)^{\maltese}.$$

Now use Krull-Remak-Schmidit Theorem, Proposition 3.12, and Remark 3.9(d) to obtain that $n=t$ and $(\phi,\psi)\sim\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n}(\phi_j,\psi_j)\sim\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n}(\alpha_j,\beta_j)\sim(\alpha,\beta)$ and hence $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi,\psi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha,\beta)$ as desired. □

4. The presentation of $F^e_*(S/fS)$ as a cokernel of a Matrix Factorization of f

Throughout the rest of this paper, unless otherwise mentioned, we will adopt the following notation:

Notation 4.1. *K will denote a field of prime characteristic p with $[K:K^p]<\infty$, and we set $q=p^e$ for some $e\geq 1$. S will denote the ring $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ or $(K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!])$. If Λ is the basis of K as K^p-vector space, then $\Lambda_e=\{\lambda_1\lambda_2\dots\lambda_e \mid \lambda_j\in\Lambda \text{ for all } 1\leq j\leq e\}$ is a basis of K as K^{p^e}-vector space. We set*

$$\Delta_e := \{\lambda x_1^{a_1}\dots x_n^{a_n} \mid 0\leq a_i\leq p^e-1 \text{ for all } 1\leq i\leq n \text{ and } \lambda\in\Lambda_e\}$$

and set $r_e:=|\Delta_e|=[K:K^p]^e q^n$

Discussion 4.2. *It is straightforward to see that $\{F^e_*(j)\mid j\in\Delta_e\}$ is a basis of $F^e_*(S)$ as free S-module. As a result, if $f\in S$ and $j\in\Delta_e$, there exists uniquely a set $\{f_{(i,j)}\in S\mid i\in\Delta_e\}$ such that $F^e_*(jf)=\bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e}f_{(i,j)}F^e(i)$. The $r_e\times r_e$ matrix of relations $[f_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j)\in\Delta_e^2}$ is called the matrix of relations of f over S with respect to e and it is denoted by $M_S(f,e)$ or $M(f,e)$ if S is known.*

Example 4.3. *Let K be a perfect field of prime characteristic 3 , $S=K[x,y]$ or $S=k[\![x,y]\!]$ and let $f=x^2+xy$. We aim to construct*

$M_S(f,1)$. Let $\{F^1_*(1), F^1_*(x), F^1_*(x^2), F^1_*(y), F^1_*(yx), F^1_*(yx^2), F^1_*(y^2), F^1_*(y^2x), F^1_*(y^2x^2)\}$ be the ordered basis of $F^1_*(S)$ as S-module. Therefore, $M_S(f,1)$ is the 9×9 matrix whose j-th column consists of the coordinates of $F^e_*(jf)$ with respect to the given basis where $F^e_*(j)$ is the j-th element of the above basis. For example, the 8-th column of $M_S(f,1)$ is obtained from $F^1_*(y^2xf) = F^1_*(y^2x^3 + x^2y^3) = xF^1_*(y^2) + yF^1_*(x^2)$. As a result, it follows that

$$M_S(f,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & yx \\ 0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & y & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remark 4.4. *If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $F^e_*(f^{mq}j) = f^m F^e_*(j)$ for all $j \in \Delta_e$. This makes $M_S(f^{mq}, e) = f^m I$ where I is the identity matrix of size $r_e \times r_e$.*

Proposition 4.5. *If $f, g \in S$, then*

(a) $M_S(f+g, e) = M_S(f, e) + M_S(g, e)$,
(b) $M_S(fg, e) = M_S(g, e)M_S(f, e)$ *and consequently* $M_S(f, e)M_S(g, e) = M_S(g, e)M_S(f, e)$, *and*
(c) $M_S(f^m, e) = [M_S(f, e)]^m$ *for all* $m \geq 1$

Proof. Since $\{F^e_*(j) | j \in \Delta_e\}$ is a basis of $F^e_*(S)$ as S-module, for each $j \in \Delta_e$ we write $F^e_*(jf) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F^e_*(i)$ and $F^e_*(jg) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} g_{(i,j)}F^e(i)$ where $f_{(i,j)} \in S$ and $g_{(i,j)} \in S$ for each $(i,j) \in \Delta^2_e$. Therefore

$$F^e_*(j(f+g)) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} (f_{(i,j)} + g_{(i,j)})F^e_*(i)$$

and hence $M_S(f+g, e) = [f_{(i,j)} + g_{(i,j)}] = [f_{(i,j)}] + [g_{(i,j)}] = M_S(f, e) + M_S(g, e)$. Now, if $h = fg$, for all $j \in \Delta_e$ write $F^e_*(jfg) = F^e_*(jh) = \bigoplus_{k\in\Delta_e} h_{(k,j)}F^e_*(k)$ where $h_{(k,j)} \in S$ for each $(k,j) \in \Delta^2_e$. Since $F^e_*(S)$ is a ring whose multiplication is given by $F^e_*(a)F^e_*(b) = F^e_*(ab)$ for all

$a, b \in S$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} F_*^e(jfg) &= F_*^e(jf)F_*^e(g) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(ig) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)} \bigoplus_{k\in\Delta_e} g_{(k,i)}F_*^e(k) \\ &= \bigoplus_{k\in\Delta_e} (\bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} g_{(k,i)}f_{(i,j)})F_*^e(k) \end{aligned}$$

This makes $h_{(k,j)} = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} g_{(k,i)}f_{(i,j)}$ for all $(k,j) \in \Delta_e^2$ and consequently $M_S(fg,e) = M_S(g,e)M_S(f,e)$

The result (b) now implies that $M_S(f^m,e) = [M_S(f,e)]^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ □

According to Remark 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, we get that

$$M_S(f^k,e)M_S(f^{q-k},e) = M_S(f^{q-k},e)M_S(f^k,e) = M_S(f^q,e) = fI_{q^n}$$

for all $0 \le k \le q-1$. This shows the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $f \in S$ and $0 \le k \le q-1$, the pair $(M_S(f^k,e), M_S(f^{q-k},e))$ is a matrix factorization of f.*

Discussion 4.7. *Let x_{n+1} be a new variable and let $L = S[x_{n+1}]$ if $S = K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ or ($L = S[\![x_{n+1}]\!]$ if $S = K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!]$). We aim to describe $M_L(g,e)$ for some $g \in L$ by describing the columns of $M_L(g,e)$. First we will construct a basis of the free L-module $F_*^e(L)$ using the basis $\{F_*^e(j) | j \in \Delta_e\}$ of the free S-module $F_*^e(S)$. For each $0 \le v \le q-1$, let $\mathfrak{B}_v = \{F_*^e(jx_{n+1}^v) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e\}$ and set $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_0 \cup \mathfrak{B}_1 \cup \mathfrak{B}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{B}_{q-1}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{B}$ is a basis for $F_*^e(L)$ as free L-module and if $g \in L$, we write*

$$F_*^e(g) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} g_i^{(0)}F^e(i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} g_i^{(1)}F^e(ix_{n+1}^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} g_i^{(q-1)}F^e(ix_{n+1}^{q-1})$$

where $\{g_i^{(s)} \,|\, 0 \le s \le q-1$ and $i \in \Delta_e\}$. For each $0 \le s \le q-1$ let $[F_*^e(g)]_{\mathfrak{B}_s}$ denote the column whose entries are the coordinates $\{g_i^{(s)} \,|\, i \in \Delta_e\}$ of $F_*^e(g)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{B}_s$. Let $[F_*^e(g)]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ be the $r_eq \times 1$ column that is composed of the columns $[F_*^e(g)]_{\mathfrak{B}_0},\dots,[F_*^e(g)]_{\mathfrak{B}_{q-1}}$ respectively. Therefore $M_L(g,e)$ is the $r_eq \times r_eq$ matrix over L whose columns are all the columns $[F_*^e(jx_{n+1}^s g)]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ where $0 \le s \le q-1$ and $j \in \Delta_e$. This means that $M_L(g,e) = \begin{bmatrix} C_0 & \dots & C_{q-1} \end{bmatrix}$ where C_m is the $r_eq \times r_e$ matrix over L whose columns are the columns $[F_*^e(jx_{n+1}^m g)]_{\mathfrak{B}}$ for all $j \in \Delta_e$. If we define $C_{(k,m)}$ to be the $r_e \times r_e$ matrix over L whose columns are $[F_*^e(jx_{n+1}^m g)]_{\mathfrak{B}_k}$ for all $j \in \Delta_e$, then C_m consists of $C_{(0,m)},\dots,C_{(q-1,m)}$

respectively and hence the matrix $M_L(g,e)$ is given by :

$$M_L(g,e) = \begin{bmatrix} C_0 & \dots & C_{q-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{(0,0)} & \dots & C_{(0,q-1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{(q-1,0)} & \dots & C_{(q-1,q-1)} \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

Using the above discussion we can prove the following lemma

Lemma 4.8. *Let $f \in S$ with $A = M_S(f,e)$ and let $L = S[x_{n+1}]$ if $S = K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ or ($L = S[\![x_{n+1}]\!]$ if $S = K[\![x_1,\dots x_n]\!]$). If $0 \le d \le q-1$, then*

$$M_L(fx_{n+1}^d, e) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{(0,0)} & \dots & C_{(0,q-1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{(q-1,0)} & \dots & C_{(q-1,q-1)} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

where

$$C_{(k,m)} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } (m,k) \in \{(d,0),(d+1,1),\dots,(q-1,q-1-d)\} \\ x_{n+1}A & \text{if } (m,k) \in \{(0,q-d),(1,q-1-d),\dots,(d,q-1)\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $A = M_S(f,e) = [f_{(i,j)}]$, for each $j \in \Delta_e$ we can write $F_*^e(jf) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F^e(i)$. If $g = fx_{n+1}^d$, for every $1 \le m \le q-1$ and $j \in \Delta_e$, it follows that $F_*^e(jx_{n+1}^m g) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F^e(ix_{n+1}^{d+m})$. Therefore,

$$F_*^e(jx_{n+1}^m g) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F^e(ix_{n+1}^{d+m}) & \text{if } d+m \le q-1 \\ \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} x_{n+1}f_{(i,j)}F^e(ix_{n+1}^{d+m-q}) & \text{if } d+m > q-1 \end{cases}$$

Accordingly, if $m \le q-1-d$, then

$$C_{(k,m)} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } k = d+m \\ 0 & \text{if } k \ne d+m \end{cases}$$

However, if $m > q-1-d$, it follows that

$$C_{(k,m)} = \begin{cases} x_{n+1}A & \text{if } k = d+m-q \\ 0 & \text{if } k \ne d+m-q \end{cases}$$

This shows the required result.

□

Proposition 4.9. *Let $L = S[x_{n+1}]$ (if $S = K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$)or $L = S[\![x_{n+1}]\!]$ (if $S = K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!]$) . Suppose that $g \in L$ is given by*

$$g = g_0 + g_1x_{n+1} + g_2x_{n+1}^2 + \dots + g_dx_{n+1}^d$$

where $d < q$ and $g_k \in S$ for all $0 \leq k \leq d$. If $A_k = M_S(g_k, e)$ for each $0 \leq k \leq d$ then

$$M_L(g,e) = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & & & & x_{n+1}A_d & x_{n+1}A_{d-1} & \dots & x_{n+1}A_1 \\ A_1 & A_0 & & & & x_{n+1}A_d & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & x_{n+1}A_d \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ A_d & \vdots & & & & & & \\ & A_d & & & & & & \\ & & & A_d & A_{d-1} & A_{d-2} & \dots & A_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. By Proposition [4.5], we get

$$M_L(g,e) = M_L(g_0,e)+M_L(g_1x_{n+1},e)+M_L(g_2x_{n+1}^2,e)+\cdots+M_L(g_dx_{n+1}^d,e)$$

Applying Lemma 4.8 for $M_L(g_jx_{n+1}^j, e)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$ yields the result.

□

Example 4.10. *Let K be a perfect field of prime characteristic 3 and let $S = K[x]$ or $S = K[\![x]\!]$. Assume $L = S[y]$ (if $S = K[x]$) or $L = S[\![y]\!]$ (if $S = K[\![x]\!]$). Let $f = x^2 + xy$, $f_0 = x$, and $f_1 = x^2$.*

By Proposition 4.9 it follows that

$$M_L(f,1) = \begin{bmatrix} M_S(f_0,1) & & yM_S(f_1,1) \\ M_S(f_1,1) & M_S(f_0,1) & \\ & M_S(f_1,1) & M_S(f_0,1) \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & yx \\ 0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & y & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & x & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

Proposition 4.11. *Let $f \in S$ be a non-zero non-unit element. If $R = S/fS$, then $F_*^e(R)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_S(M_S(f,e))$ as S-modules (and as R-modules).*

Proof.

The first assertion follows from the fact that R is Cohen Macaulay. Write $I = fS$. Since $\{F_*^e(j) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e\}$ is a basis of $F_*^e(S)$ as free S-module, the module $F_*^e(R)$ is generated as S-module by the set

$\{F^e_*(j+I) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e\}$. For every $g \in S$, define $\phi(F^e_*(g)) = F^e_*(g+I)$. It is clear that $\phi : F^e_*(S) \longrightarrow F^e_*(R)$ is a surjective homomorphism of S-modules whose kernel is the S-module $F^e_*(I)$ that is generated by the set $\{F^e_*(jf) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e\}$. Now, define the S-linear map $\psi : F^e_*(S) \to F^e_*(S)$ by $\psi(F^e_*(h)) = F^e_*(hf)$ for all $h \in S$. We have an exact sequence $F^e_*(S) \xrightarrow{\psi} F^e_*(S) \xrightarrow{\phi} F^e_*(R) \to 0$. Notice for each $j \in \Delta_e$ that $\psi(F^e_*(j)) = F^e_*(jf) = \bigoplus_{i \in \Delta_e} f_{(i,j)} F^e(i)$ and hence $M_S(f,e)$ represents the map ψ on the given free-bases. By Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 3.7(a), it follows that $F^e_*(R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cok}_S(M_S(f,e))$ as R -modules.

□

Corollary 4.12. *Let $f \in S$ be a non-zero non-unit element. If $1 \leq k \leq q-1$ and $R = S/fS$, then*

(a) *$F^e_*(S/f^kS)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module isomorphic to $Cok_S(M_S(f^k,e))$ as S-modules (and as R-modules), and*
(b) *$F^e_*(S/f^kS)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay S/f^kS -modules isomorphic to $Cok_S(M_S(f^k,e))$ as S-modules (and as S/f^kS-modules).*

Proof. (a) Since the pair $(M_S(f^k,e), M_S(f^{q-k},e))$ is a matrix factorization of f, it follows that $fCok_S(M_S(f^k,e)) = 0$. It is clear that $fF^e_*(S/f^kS) = 0$. This makes $F^e_*(S/f^kS)$ and $Cok_S(M_S(f^k,e))$ R-modules and consequently $F^e_*(S/f^kS)$ is isomorphic to $Cok_S(M_S(f^k,e))$ as R -modules.
The result (b) can be proved by observing that $(M_S(f^k,e), M_S(f^{kq-k},e))$ is a matrix factorization of f^k and applying the same argument as above. □

Lemma 4.13. *Let K be a field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ with $[K : K^p] < \infty$ and let $T = S[z]$ if $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ (or $T = S[\![z]\!]$ if $S = K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$). If $A = M_S(f,e)$ for some $f \in S$, then*

$$F^e_*(T/(f+z^2)) = \mathrm{Cok}_T \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{q-1}{2}} & -zI \\ zI & A^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Let I be the identity matrix in $M_{r_e}(S)$. It follows by Proposition 4.9 that $M_T(f+z^2,e)$ is a $q \times q$ matrix over the ring $M_{r_e}(S)$ that is given by

$$M_T(f+z^2,e) = \begin{bmatrix} A & & & & & zI & 0 \\ 0 & A & & & & & zI \\ I & 0 & A & & & & \\ & I & 0 & A & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & I & 0 & A & \\ & & & & I & 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$$

By Corollary 3.2, we get $F^e_*(T/(f+z^2)) = \operatorname{Cok}_T \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{q-1}{2}} & -zI \\ zI & A^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$ □

Proposition 4.14. *Let u and v be new variables on S and let $L = S[u,v]$ if $S = K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ (or $L = S[\![u,v]\!]$ if $S = K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!]$). Let $R^\bigstar = L/(f+uv)$. If A is the matrix $M_S(f,e)$ for some $f \in S$ and I is the identity matrix in the ring $M_{r_e}(S)$, then*

$$F^e_*(L/(f+uv)) = \operatorname{Cok}_F(M_L(f+uv,e)) = (R^\bigstar)^{r_e} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q-1} \operatorname{Cok}_L B_j$$

where $B_k = \begin{bmatrix} A^k & -vI \\ uI & A^{q-k} \end{bmatrix}$ for all $1 \le k \le q-1$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathfrak{D} = \{F^e_*(ju^sv^t) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e, 0 \le s,t \le q-1\}$ is a free basis of $F^e_*(L)$ as L-module. We introduce a $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$-grading on both L and $F^e_*(L)$ as follows: L is concentrated in degree 0, while $\deg(F^e_*(x_i)) = 0$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, $\deg(F^e_*(u)) = 1$ and $\deg(F^e_*(v)) = -1$. We can now write $F^e_*(L) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} M_k$ where M_k is the free L-submodule of $F^e_*(L)$ of elements of homogeneous degree k, and which is generated by

$$\mathfrak{D}_k = \{F^e_*(ju^sv^t) \,|\, \deg(F^e_*(ju^sv^t)) = k\}$$

of the basis $\mathfrak{D}$. Note that $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \{F^e_*(ju^sv^s) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e, 0 \le s \le q-1\}$, and that for all $1 \le k \le q-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_k \;=\; & \{F^e_*(ju^{k+r}v^r) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e, 0 \le r \le q-k-1\} \cup \\ & \{F^e_*(ju^rv^{q-k+r}) \,|\, j \in \Delta_e, 0 \le r \le k-1\} \end{aligned}$$

Let J be the ideal $(f+uv)L$. Since $\deg(F^e_*(f+uv)) = 0$, it follows that $F^e_*(J) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} M_k F^e_*(f+uv)$ and consequently

$$F^e_*(F/(f+uv)) = F^e_*(F)/F^e_*(J) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{q-1} M_k/M_kF^e_*(f+uv) \tag{4}$$

We now show that $M_k/M_kF_*^e(f+uv) \cong \mathrm{Cok}_L\, C_k$ where $C_0 = \begin{bmatrix}(-1)^q A^{q-1} & uvI \\ I & A\end{bmatrix}$ and $C_k = \begin{bmatrix}(-1)^{q-k+1}A^{q-k} & vI \\ uI & (-1)^{k+1}A^k\end{bmatrix}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq q-1$. Recall that if $M_s(f,e) = [f_{(i,j)}]$, then $F_*^e(j) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(i)$ for all $j \in \Delta_e$. So now

$$\begin{aligned} F_*^e(ju^sv^t(f+uv)) &= F_*^e(jf)F_*^e(u^sv^t) + F_*^e(ju^{s+1}v^{t+1}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(iu^sv^t) \oplus F_*^e(ju^{s+1}v^{t+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since $\deg(F_*^e(iu^sv^t)) = \deg(F_*^e(ju^{s+1}v^{t+1}))$ for all $i,j \in \Delta_e$ and all $0 \leq s,t \leq q-1$, it follows that $F_*^e(ju^sv^t(f+uv)) \in M_k$ for all $F_*^e(ju^sv^t) \in \mathfrak{D}_k$. This enables us to define the homomorphism $\psi_k : M_k \to M_k$ that is given by $\psi_k(F_*^e(ju^sv^t)) = F_*^e(ju^sv^t(f+uv))$ for all $F_*^e(ju^sv^t) \in \mathfrak{D}_k$ and consequently we have the following short exact sequence

$$M_k \xrightarrow{\psi_k} M_k \xrightarrow{\phi_k} M_k/M_kF_*^e(f+uv) \to 0$$

where $\phi_k : M_k \to M_k/M_kF_*^e(f+uv)$ is the canonical surjection. Notice that if $0 \leq s < q-1$, equation (5) implies that

$$F_*^e(ju^sv^s(f+uv)) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(iu^sv^s) \oplus F^e(ju^{s+1}v^{s+1}) \tag{6}$$

and

$$F_*^e(ju^{q-1}v^{q-1}(f+uv)) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(iu^{q-1}v^{q-1}) \oplus uvF_*^e(j), \tag{7}$$

therefore ψ_0 is represented by the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & & & uvI \\ I & A & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & I & A \end{bmatrix}$ which is a $q \times q$ matrix over the ring $M_{r_e}(L)$. Now Corollary 3.4 implies that

$$M_0/M_0F_*^e(f+uv) \cong \mathrm{Cok}_L \begin{bmatrix}(-1)^q A^{q-1} & uvI \\ I & A\end{bmatrix} = (R^\bigstar)^{r_e} \tag{8}$$

Now let $1 \leq k \leq q-1$. If $0 \leq r < q-k-1$, then it follows from equation (5) that

$$F_*^e(ju^{k+r}v^r(f+uv)) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(iu^{k+r}v^r) \oplus F_*^e(ju^{k+r+1}v^{r+1}) \tag{9}$$

and

(10)
$$F_*^e(ju^{q-1}v^{q-k-1}(f+uv)) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(iu^{q-1}v^{q-k-1}) \oplus uF_*^e(jv^{q-k})$$

However, if $0 \le r < k-1$, it follows from (5) that

(11)
$$F_*^e(ju^rv^{q-k-r}(f+uv)) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(iu^rv^{q-k-r}) \oplus F_*^e(ju^{r+1}v^{q-k-r+1})$$

and

$$F_*^e(ju^{k-1}v^{q-1}(f+uv)) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Delta_e} f_{(i,j)}F_*^e(iu^{k-1}v^{q-1}) \oplus vF_*^e(ju^k) \tag{12}$$

As a result, ψ_k is represented by the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc}
A & & & & & & & vI \\
I & A & & & & & & \\
 & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\
 & & I & A & & & & \\
\hline
 & & & uI & A & & & \\
 & & & & I & A & & \\
 & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
 & & & & & & I & A
\end{array}\right]$$

which is a $q \times q$ matrix over the ring $M_{r_e}(L)$ where uI is in the $(q-k+1, q-k)$ spot of this matrix.

Therefore, Corollary 3.4 implies that

$$M_k/M_kF_*^e(f+uv) \cong \mathrm{Cok}_L \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{q-k+1}A^{q-k} & vI \\ uI & (-1)^{k+1}A^k \end{bmatrix}$$

If k is odd integer, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} M_k/M_kF_*^e(f+uv) &\cong \mathrm{Cok}_L\left(\begin{bmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{q-k+1}A^{q-k} & vI \\ uI & (-1)^{k+1}A^k \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Cok}_L \begin{bmatrix} A^{q-k} & -vI \\ uI & A^k \end{bmatrix} \qquad (13) \end{aligned}$$

Similar argument when k is even shows that

$$M_k/M_kF_*^e(f+uv) \cong \mathrm{Cok}_L \begin{bmatrix} A^{q-k} & -vI \\ uI & A^k \end{bmatrix} \tag{14}$$

Now the proposition follows from (4), (8), (13) and (14). □

We shall need the following corollary later

Corollary 4.15. *Let $S = K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of S. Let $R = S/fS$ where $f \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $R^\bigstar = S[[u,v]]/(f+uv)$. If $A = M_S(f,e)$, then*

$$\sharp(F^e_*(R^\bigstar), R^\bigstar) = r_e + 2\sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(Cok_S(A^k), R)$$

Proof.

By Proposition 4.14, it follows that

$$F^e_*(R^\bigstar) = (R^\bigstar)^{r_e} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q-1} \mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]} \begin{bmatrix} A^k & -vI \\ uI & A^{q-k} \end{bmatrix}$$

However, For each $1 \leq k \leq q-1$, we notice that

$$\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]} \begin{bmatrix} A^k & -vI \\ uI & A^{q-k} \end{bmatrix} = \mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(A^k, A^{q-k})^{\maltese}$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.14 and the convention that $\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k, A^{q-k}) = \mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sharp(F^e_*(R^\bigstar), R^\bigstar) &= r_e + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_{S[[u,v]]}(A^k, A^{q-k})^{\maltese}, R^\bigstar) \\
&= r_e + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \left[\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k, A^{q-k}), R) + \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^{q-k}, A^k), R)\right] \\
&= r_e + 2\sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k, A^{q-k}), R) \\
&= r_e + 2\sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R)
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. When does $S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ have finite F-representation type?

We keep the same notation as in section 4 unless otherwise stated. The purpose of this section is to provide a characterization of when the ring $S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ has finite F-representation type. This characterization enables us of exhibiting a class of rings in section 6 that have FFRT but not finite CM type.

Proposition 5.1. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ and $q = p^e$. Let $S := K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of S and $f \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Let $R = S/(f)$ and $R^\bigstar = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$. Then $R^\bigstar = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ has FFRT over $R^\bigstar$ if and only if there exist indecomposable R-modules $N_1, \dots, N_t$ such that $F^e_*(S/(f^k))$ is a direct sums with direct summands taken from $N_1, \dots, N_t$ for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k < p^e$.*

Proof. First, suppose that $R^\bigstar = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ has FFRT over $R^\bigstar$ by $\{R^\bigstar, M_1, \dots, M_t, \}$ where M_j is an indecomposable non-free MCM $R^\bigstar$-module for all j. By Proposition 3.12 and 3.13, it follows that $M_j = \mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha_j, \beta_j)^\maltese$ where (α_j, β_j) is a reduced matrix factorization of f such that $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ is non-free indecomposable MCM R-module for all j. Now apply Proposition 4.14 to get that

$$F^e_*(S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)) \cong (R^\bigstar)^{r_e} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{q-1} \mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(A^k, A^{q-k})^\maltese \tag{15}$$

where $A = M_S(f,e)$ and $A^k = (M_S(f,e))^k = M_S(f^k, e)$ (by Proposition 4.5). By Proposition 3.10 there exist a reduced matrix factorization (ϕ_k, ψ_k) of f and non-negative integers t_k and r_k such that $(A^k, A^{q-k}) \sim (\phi_k, \psi_k) \oplus (f,1)^{t_k} \oplus (1,f)^{r_k}$. This gives by Remark 3.9 (g), (h), and (i) that $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[[u,v]]}(A^k, A^{q-k})^\maltese = \mathrm{Cok}_{S[[u,v]]}(\phi_k, \psi_k)^\maltese \oplus [R^\bigstar]^{t_k+r_k}$. By Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem (KRS)[LW12, Corollary 1.10], there exist non-negative integers $n_{(e,k,1)}, \dots, n_{(e,k,t)}$ such that $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\phi_k, \psi_k)^\maltese \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t M_j^{n_{(e,k,j)}} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t [\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha_j, \beta_j)^\maltese]^{\oplus n_{(e,k,j)}}$ $\cong \mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}[\bigoplus_{j=1}^t (\alpha_j, \beta_j)^{\oplus n_{(e,k,j)}}]^\maltese$. Now, from Proposition 3.16 and Remark 3.9 (d) it follows that $\mathrm{Cok}_S(\phi_k, \psi_k) \cong \mathrm{Cok}_S[\bigoplus_{j=1}^t (\alpha_j, \beta_j)^{\oplus n_{(e,k,j)}}] \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t N_j^{\oplus n_{(e,k,j)}}$ where $N_j = \mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Therefore, $F^e_*(S/f^kS) \cong \mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k, A^{q-k}) = \mathrm{Cok}_S[(\phi_k, \psi_k) \oplus (f,1)^{r_k} \oplus (1,f)^{t_k}] = R^{r_k} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t N_j^{\oplus n_{(e,k,j)}}$. This shows that $F^e_*(S/(f^k))$, for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k < p^e$, is a direct sums with direct summands taken from $\{R, N_1, \dots, N_t\}$.

No suppose $F^e_*(S/(f^k))$ is a direct sums with direct summands taken from indecomposable R-modules $N_1, \dots, N_t$ for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k < p^e$. Therefore, for each $1 \leq k \leq q-1$, there exist non-negative integers $n_{(e,k)}, n_{(e,k,1)}, \dots, n_{(e,k,t)}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k, A^{q-k}) \cong F^e_*(S/f^kS) \cong R^{\oplus n_{(e,k)}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t N_j^{\oplus n_{(e,k,j)}} \text{ over } R \tag{16}$$

Since $F^e_*(S/f^kS)$ is a MCM R-module (by Corollary 4.12), it follows that N_j is an indecomposable non-free MCM R-module for each $j \in \{1,\dots,t\}$ and hence by Proposition 3.13 $N_j = \mathrm{Cok}_S(\alpha_j,\beta_j)$ for some reduced matrix factorization (α_j,β_j) for all j. If $M_j = \mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(\alpha_j,\beta_j)^{\maltese}$, it follows that $\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(A^k, A^{q-k})^{\maltese} = (R^{\bigstar})^{n_{(e,k)}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} M_j^{n_{(e,k,j)}}$ and hence by Equation 15 $R^{\bigstar} = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ has FFRT by $\{R^{\bigstar}, M_1,\dots,M_t\}$. □

The following result is a direct application of the above proposition

Corollary 5.2. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ and $q = p^e$. Let $S := K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!]$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of S and $f \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Let $R = S/(f)$ and $R^{\bigstar} = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$. If $R^{\bigstar} = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ has FFRT over $R^{\bigstar}$, then S/f^kS has FFRT over S/f^kS for every positive integer k.*

The above corollary implies evidently the following.

Corollary 5.3. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ and $q = p^e$. Let $S := K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!]$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of S and $f \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Let $R = S/(f)$ and $R^{\bigstar} = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$. If S/f^kS does not have FFRT over S/f^kS for some positive integer k, then $R^{\bigstar}$ does not have FFRT. In particular, if R does not have FFRT , then $R^{\bigstar}$ does not have FFRT*

An easy induction gives the following result.

Corollary 5.4. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ and $q = p^e$. Let $S := K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!]$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of S, $f \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $R = S/(f)$. If R does not have FFRT, then the ring*

$$S[\![u_1,v_1,u_2,v_2,\dots,u_t,v_t]\!]/(f+u_1v_1+u_2v_2+\cdots+u_tv_t)$$

does not have FFRT for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

6. A Class of rings that have FFRT but not finite CM type

We keep the same notation as in section 4 unless otherwise stated. Recall that, a local ring (R,m) is said to have finite CM representation type if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable MCM R-modules. It is clear that every F-finite local ring (R,m) of prime characteristic that has finite CM representation type has also FFRT. The main result of this section is to provide a class of rings that have FFRT but not finite CM representation type Proposition 6.3.

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in {\mathbb{Z}_+}^n$, we write $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are different variables.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f = x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \dots x_n^{d_n}$ be a monomial in S where $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for each j. Let $\Gamma = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in {\mathbb{Z}_+}^n \,|\, 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq d_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$, and let e be a positive integer such that $q = p^e > \max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\} + 1$. If $A = M_S(f, e)$, then for each $1 \leq k \leq q-1$ the matrix $A^k = M_S(f^k, e)$ is equivalent to diagonal matrix, D, of size $r_e \times r_e$ in which the diagonal entries are of the form x^c where $c \in \Gamma$. Furthermore, if $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \Gamma$ and*

$$\eta_k(c_j) = \begin{cases} q - |c_j q - k d_j| \text{ if } |c_j q - k d_j| < q \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then

$$\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^q, A^{q-k}) = \bigoplus_{c \in \Gamma} \left[\mathrm{Cok}_S(x^c, x^{d-c})\right]^{\oplus \eta_k(c)}$$

where $\eta_k(c) = [K : K^q] \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_k(c_j)$ with the convention that $M^{\oplus 0} = \{0\}$ for any module M and (x^c, x^{d-c}) is the 1×1 matrix factorization of f.

Proof. Choose $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q = p^e > \max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\} + 1$ and let $1 \leq k \leq q-1$. If $j = \lambda x_1^{\beta_1} \dots x_n^{\beta_n} \in \Delta_e$, we get $F_*^e(jf^k) = F_*^e(\lambda x_1^{kd_1+\beta_1} \dots x_n^{kd_n+\beta_n})$. Since $d_j, k \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$, there exist $0 \leq c_i \leq d$ and $0 \leq u_i \leq q-1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $d_i k + \beta_i = c_i q + u_i$ and hence $F_*^e(jf^k) = x_1^{c_1} \dots x_n^{c_n} F_*^e(\lambda x_1^{u_1} \dots x_n^{u_n})$. Therefore each column and each row of $M_S(f^k, e)$ contains only one non-zero element of the form $x_1^{c_1} \dots x_n^{c_n}$ where $0 \leq c_i \leq d$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Accordingly, using the row and column operations, the matrix $M_S(f^k, e)$ is equivalent to a diagonal matrix, D, of size $r_e \times r_e$ in which the diagonal entries are of the form $x_1^{c_1} \dots x_n^{c_n}$ where $0 \leq c_i \leq d$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now fix $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \Gamma$ and let $\eta(c)$ stand for how many times x^c appears as an element in the diagonal of D. It is obvious that $\eta(c)$ is exactly the same as the number of the n-tuples $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq q-1$ satisfying that

$$F_*^e(\lambda x_1^{kd_1+\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{kd_n+\alpha_n}) = x_1^{c_1} \dots x_n^{c_n} F_*^e(\lambda x_1^{s_1} \dots x_n^{s_n}) \tag{17}$$

where $s_1, .., s_n \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_e$. However, an n-tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq q-1$ will satisfy (17) if and only if $\alpha_j = c_j q - k d_j + s_j$ for some $0 \leq s_j \leq q-1$ whenever $1 \leq j \leq n$. As a result, the n-tuples $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ will satisfy (17) if and only if $|c_j q - k d_j| < q$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Indeed, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, set $u_j = c_j q - k d_j$. If $0 \leq u_j < q$, we can choose $\alpha_j \in \{u_j, u_j + 1, \dots, q-1\}$. On the other hand, if $-q < u_j < 0$, then α_j can be taken from

$\{q-1+u_j, q-2+u_j, \ldots, -u_j+u_j\}$. Therefore, if $0 \leq |c_jq - kd_j| < q$, then α_j can be chosen by $q - |c_jq - kd_j|$ ways. Set

$$\eta_k(c_j) = \begin{cases} q - |c_jq - kd_j| \text{ if } |c_jq - kd_j| < q \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus we get that $\eta_k(c) = [K : K^q] \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_k(c_j)$. Now if $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{c \in \Gamma \,|\, \eta_k(c) > 0\}$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^q, A^{q-k}) = \bigoplus_{c\in\tilde{\Gamma}} \left[\mathrm{Cok}_S(x^c, x^{d-c})\right]^{\oplus\eta_k(c)} = \bigoplus_{c\in\Gamma} \left[\mathrm{Cok}_S(x^c, x^{d-c})\right]^{\oplus\eta_k(c)}$$

□

Corollary 6.2. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ and $q = p^e$. Let $S := K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$ and $f = x_1^{d_1}x_2^{d_2}\ldots x_n^{d_n}$ where $d_j \in \mathbb{N}$ for each j . Then $R^\bigstar = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ has FFRT over $R^\bigstar$. Furthermore, for every $e \in \mathbf{N}$ with $q = p^e > max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} + 1$, $F^e_*(R^\bigstar)$ has the following decomposition:*

$$F^e_*(R^\bigstar) = (R^\bigstar)^{q^n} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{q-1} \left[\bigoplus_{c\in\Gamma} \left[\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(x^c, x^{d-c})^\Phi\right]^{\oplus\eta_k(c)}\right]$$

where $\eta_k(c)$ and Γ as in the above lemma.

Proof. Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q = p^e > max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} + 1$ and let $1 \leq k \leq q-1$. Let Γ and $\eta_k(c)$ be as in the above lemma. If $A = M_S(f, e)$, it follows that

$$F^e_*(S/f^k) \cong \mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k, A^{q-k}) \cong \bigoplus_{c\in\Gamma} \left[\mathrm{Cok}_S(x^c, x^{d-c})\right]^{\oplus\eta_k(c)}$$

If $\mathfrak{M} = \{\mathrm{Cok}_S(x^c, x^{d-c}) \,|\, c \in \Gamma\} \cup \{F^j(S/f^i) \,|\, p^j \leq \max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq p^j\}$, then $F^e_*(S/(f^k))$ is a direct sums with direct summands taken from the finite set $\mathfrak{M}$ for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k < p^e$. By Proposition 5.1 $R^\bigstar$ has FFRT.

Furthermore, we can describe explicitly the direct summands of $F^e_*(R^\bigstar)$. Indeed, if $\hat{\Gamma} := \{c \in \Gamma \,|\, \eta_k(c) > 0 \text{ and } c \notin \{d, 0\}\}$, it follows that

$$(A^k, A^{q-k}) \sim \bigoplus_{c\in\hat{\Gamma}} (x^c, x^{d-c})^{\oplus\eta_k(c)} \bigoplus (x^d, 1)^{\oplus\eta_k(d)} \bigoplus (1, x^d)^{\oplus\eta_k(0)}$$

Recall by Remark 3.9 that $(A^k, A^{q-k})^\Phi$ is a matrix factorization of $f + uv$ and

$$(A^k, A^{q-k})^\Phi \sim \bigoplus_{c\in\hat{\Gamma}} \left[(x^c, x^{d-c})^\Phi\right]^{\oplus\eta_k(c)} \bigoplus \left[(x^d, 1)^\Phi\right]^{\oplus\eta_k(d)} \bigoplus \left[(1, x^d)^\Phi\right]^{\oplus\eta_k(0)}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(A^k, A^{q-k})^{\Phi} &= \bigoplus_{c\in\hat{\Gamma}} \left[\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(x^c, x^{d-c})^{\Phi}\right]^{\oplus \eta_k(c)} \bigoplus \left[\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(x^d, 1)^{\Phi}\right]^{\oplus \eta_k(d)} \\ &\quad \bigoplus \left[\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(1, x^d)^{\Phi}\right]^{\oplus \eta_k(0)}\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.14, the above equation, and the convention that $M^{\oplus 0} = \{0\}$, we can write

$$F^e_*(S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)) = (R^{\bigstar})^{q^n} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{q-1} \left[\bigoplus_{c\in\Gamma} \left[\mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![u,v]\!]}(x^c, x^{d-c})^{\Phi}\right]^{\oplus \eta_k(c)}\right]$$

□

We will take benefit from the proof of Corollary 6.2 above when we compute the F-signature in section 9. The fact that the hypersurface in Corollary 6.2 has FFRT can be also proved differently in the following proof.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Q}_+$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denote the sets of non-negative elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ respectively. Let B be the $(n+1)\times(n+2)$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$ that is given by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & d_1 & 0 \\ & 1 & & & 0 & d_2 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & 0 & d_n \\ & & & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ are positive integers and let b_j denote the j-th column of B. Consider the semigroup homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+2} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ that is given by

$$\pi(\beta) = B\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \beta_i b_i \text{ for each } \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{n+2} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+2}$$

The image of π is the monoid $\mathbb{Z}_+B = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \beta_i b_i \,|\, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n+2} \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. It is straightforward to see that 0 is the only unit in the monoid $\mathbb{Z}_+B$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+B = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{Q}_+B$ where $\mathbb{Q}_+B = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \beta_i b_i \,|\, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n+2} \in \mathbb{Q}_+\}$. This proves that $\mathbb{Z}_+B$ is a positive normal affine monoid and consequently there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a subgroup U of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_+B$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_+^m \cap U$ [BG09, Theorem 2.29]. Therefore, $K[\mathbb{Z}_+B]$ is a direct $K[\mathbb{Z}_+B]$-summand of $K[\mathbb{Z}_+^m]$ [BH93, Excercise 6.1.10] or [Bru07, Theorem 1.6]. Defining a relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{Z}_+^{n+2}$ by $\alpha \sim \beta$ if $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+2}$ makes $\sim$ a congruence on the semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_+^{n+2}$ and hence the semigroups $S/\sim$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+B$ are isomorphic. However,

$K[S/\sim]$ is isomorphic to $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,u,v]/I_B$ (see [Gil84, Corollary 7.3]) where I_B is the ideal of $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,u,v]$ generated by

$$\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\alpha_i} u^{\alpha_{n+1}} v^{\alpha_{n+1}} - \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\beta_i} u^{\beta_{n+1}} v^{\beta_{n+1}} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i b_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i b_i \text{ where } \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$$

It is straightforward to check that I_B is generated only by $f-uv$ and consequently $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,u,v]/(f-uv)$ is a direct $K[x_1,\dots,x_n,u,v]/(f-uv)$-summand of $K[\mathbb{Z}_+^m]$. Since $K[\mathbb{Z}_+^m]$ is isomorphic to $K[x_1,\dots,x_m]$ that has FFRT, then the ring $S[u,v]/(f+uv)$ has FFRT ([SV97, Proposition 3.1.6]) and hence $S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$ has FFRT (Remark 2.2). □

If $(S,\mathfrak{n})$ is a regular local ring, and $R = S/(g)$, where $0 \neq g \in \mathfrak{n}^2$, then R is a simple singularity (relative to the presentation $R = S/(g)$) provided there are only finitely many ideals L of S such that $g \in L^2$ [LW12, Definition 9.1].

Proposition 6.3. *Let K be an algebraically closed field with $char(k) \neq 0,2,3,5$, and let $S = K[\![x_1,\dots,x_k]\!]$ where $k \geq 2$. If $f \in S$ is a monomial of degree grater than 3 and $R^\bigstar = S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$, then $R^\bigstar$ has FFRT but it does not have finite CM representation type.*

Proof. Let t be the degree of the monomial f and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of S. Clearly, t is the largest natural number satisfying $f \in \mathfrak{m}^t - \mathfrak{m}^{t+1}$ and consequently the multiplicity $e(R)$ of the ring R is $e(R) = t$ (by [LW12, Corollary A.24 page 435]). Since $e(R) = t > 3$, R is not a simple singularity [LW12, Lemma 9.3] . Therefore, by [LW12, Theorem 9.8] R does not have finite CM type. Consequently, by Proposition 3.12, $R^\bigstar$ does not have finite CM type as well. However, Corollary 6.2 implies that $R^\bigstar$ has FFRT. □

7. The F-signature of $\frac{S[[u,v]]}{f+uv}$ when f is a monomial

We will keep the same notation as in notation 4.1 unless otherwise stated.

Notation 7.1. *Let $\Delta = \{1,\dots,n\}$ and let $d, d_1,\dots,d_n$ be real numbers. For every $1 \leq s \leq n-1$, define*

$$W_s^{(n)} = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_s \in \Delta} [(d-d_{j_1})\dots(d-d_{j_s})(\prod_{j \in \Delta \setminus \{j_1,\dots,j_s\}} d_j)]$$

$$W_n^{(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(d-d_i) \text{ and } W_0^{(n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i$$

According to the above notation, we can observe the following remark

Remark 7.2. *If $n \geq 2$, then $W_j^{(n)} = (d-d_n)W_{j-1}^{(n-1)} + d_n W_j^{(n-1)}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n-1$*

The following lemma is needed to prove Proposition 7.4

Lemma 7.3. *If r , q, d_j and u_j are real numbers for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}(d_j r + \frac{q(d-d_j)}{d} + u_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{q^j}{d^j} W_j^{(n)} r^{n-j} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) r^c$$

where $g_c^{(n)}(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree $n-1-c$ for all $0 \leq c \leq n-1$.

Proof. By induction on n, we will prove this lemma. It is clear when $n = 1$. The induction hypothesis implies that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n+1}(d_j r + \frac{q(d-d_j)}{d} + u_j) = A + B + C$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= d_{n+1} r \prod_{j=1}^{n}(d_j r + \frac{q(d-d_j)}{d} + u_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} d_{n+1} \frac{q^j}{d^j} W_j^{(n)} r^{n-j+1} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} d_{n+1} g_c^{(n)}(q) r^{c+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{q(d-d_{n+1})}{d} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(d_j r + \frac{q(d-d_j)}{d} + u_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}(d-d_{n+1}) \frac{q^{j+1}}{d^{j+1}} W_j^{(n)} r^{n-j} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} \frac{q(d-d_{n+1})}{d} g_c^{(n)}(q) r^c \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C &= u_{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(d_j r + \frac{q(d-d_j)}{d} + u_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_{n+1} \frac{q^j}{d^j} W_j^{(n)} r^{n-j} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} u_{n+1} g_c^{(n)}(q) r^c \end{aligned}$$

If $D=\sum_{j=0}^{n} d_{n+1}\frac{q^j}{d^j}W_j^{(n)}r^{n-j+1}$ and $E=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(d-d_{n+1})\frac{q^{j+1}}{d^{j+1}}W_j^{(n)}r^{n-j}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
D+E &= d_{n+1}W_0^{(n)}r^{n+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{n+1}\frac{q^j}{d^j}W_j^{(n)}r^{n-j+1}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(d-d_{n+1})\frac{q^{j+1}}{d^{j+1}}W_j^{(n)}r^{n-j}+(d-d_{n+1})\frac{q^{n+1}}{d^{n+1}}W_n^{(n)}\\
&= d_{n+1}W_0^{(n)}r^{n+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{n+1}\frac{q^j}{d^j}W_j^{(n)}r^{n-j+1}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(d-d_{n+1})\frac{q^j}{d^j}W_{j-1}^{(n)}r^{n-j+1}+(d-d_{n+1})\frac{q^{n+1}}{d^{n+1}}W_n^{(n)}\\
&= d_{n+1}W_0^{(n)}r^{n+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{q^j}{d^j}[d_{n+1}W_j^{(n)}+(d-d_{n+1})W_{j-1}^{(n)}]r^{n-j+1}\\
&\quad+(d-d_{n+1})\frac{q^{n+1}}{d^{n+1}}W_n^{(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now apply Remark 7.2 to get that

$$D+E=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\frac{q^j}{d^j}W_j^{(n+1)}r^{n+1-j} \tag{18}$$

Now define

$$g_n^{(n+1)}(q)=d_{n+1}g_{n-1}^{(n)}(q)+u_{n+1}W_0^{(n)},$$

$$g_0^{(n+1)}(q)=\frac{q}{d}(d-d_{n+1})g_0^{(n)}(q)+u_{n+1}\frac{q^n}{d^n}W_n^{(n)}+u_{n+1}g_0^{(n)}(q),$$

and

$$g_j^{(n+1)}(q)=d_{n+1}g_{j-1}^{(n)}(q)+\frac{q(d-d_{n+1})}{d}g_j^{(n)}(q)+u_{n+1}\frac{q^{n-j}}{d^{n-j}}W_{n-j}^{(n)}+u_{n+1}g_j^{(n)}(q)$$

for every $1\le j\le n-1$.

Notice that $g_j^{(n+1)}(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree $n-j$ for all $0\le j\le n$ because $g_c^{(n)}(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree $n-1-c$ for all $0\le c\le n-1$

It is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{c=0}^{n-1} d_{n+1}g_c^{(n)}(q)r^{c+1}+\sum_{c=0}^{n-1}\frac{q(d-d_{n+1})}{d}g_c^{(n)}(q)r^c+C=\sum_{c=0}^{n} g_c^{(n+1)}(q)r^c,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j=1}^{n+1}(d_jr+\frac{q(d-d_j)}{d}+u_j) &= A+B+C=E+D+\sum_{c=0}^{n}g_c^{(n+1)}(q)r^c\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\frac{q^j}{d^j}W_j^{(n+1)}r^{n+1-j}+\sum_{c=0}^{n}g_c^{(n+1)}(q)r^c\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 7.4. *Let $f=x_1^{d_1}\dots x_n^{d_n}$ be a monomial in $S=K[\![x_1,\dots,x_n]\!]$ where d_j is a positive integer for each $1\le j\le n$. If $d=\max\{d_1,\dots,d_n\}$ and $R^\bigstar=S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$, then the F-signature of $R^\bigstar$ is given by*

(19)
$$\mathbb{S}(R^\bigstar)=\frac{2}{d^{n+1}}\left[\frac{d_1d_2\dots d_n}{n+1}+\frac{W_1^{(n)}}{n}+\dots+\frac{W_s^{(n)}}{n-s+1}+\dots+\frac{W_{n-1}^{(n)}}{2}\right]$$

where $W_1^{(n)},\dots,W_{n-1}^{(n)}$ are defined as in the notation 7.1.

Proof. Let $R=S/fS$ and $R^\bigstar=S[\![u,v]\!]/(f+uv)$. Set $[K:K^p]=b$ and recall from the notation 4.1 that Λ_e is the basis of K as K^q-vector space where $q=p^e$. We know from Corollary 4.15 that

$$\sharp(F_*^e(R^\bigstar),R^\bigstar)=r_e+2\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\sharp(Cok_S(A^k),R) \tag{20}$$

where $r_e=b^eq^n$, $A=M_S(f,e)$ and $A^k=M_S(f^k,e)$. Since f^k is a monomial, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that the matrix $A^k=M_S(f^k,e)$ is equivalent to a diagonal matrix D whose diagonal entries are taken from the set $\{x_1^{u_1}\dots x_n^{u_n}|0\le u_j\le d_j \text{ for all } 1\le j\le n\}$. This makes $\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k)=\mathrm{Cok}_S(D)$ and consequently the number $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k),R)$ is exactly the same as the number of the n-tuples $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$ with $0\le\alpha_j\le q-1$ satisfying that

$$F_*^e(\lambda x_1^{kd_1+\alpha_1}\dots x_n^{kd_n+\alpha_n})=x_1^{d_1}\dots x_n^{d_n}F_*^e(\lambda x_1^{s_1}\dots x_n^{s_n}) \tag{21}$$

where $s_1,..,s_n\in\{0,\dots,q-1\}$ for all $\lambda\in\Lambda_e$. However, an n-tuple $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$ with $0\le\alpha_j\le q-1$ will satisfy (21) if and only if $\alpha_j=d_j(q-k)+s_j$ for some $0\le s_j\le q-1$ for each $1\le j\le n$. As a result, the n-tuples $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ will satisfy (21) if and only if $d_j(q-k)\le\alpha_j<q$ for all $1\le j\le n$. Set $N_j(k):=\{\alpha_j\in\mathbb{Z}\,|\,d_j(q-k)\le\alpha_j<q\}$ for all $1\le j\le n$. Therefore,

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k),R)=b^e|N_1(k)||N_2(k)|\dots|N_n(k)| \tag{22}$$

Since $|N_j(k)| = q - d_j q + d_j k$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, it follows that

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) = b^e \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q - d_j q + d_j k) \tag{23}$$

Let $d = \max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$, then $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) \neq 0$ if and only if $N_j(k) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ if and only if $\frac{q(d-1)}{d} < k$. Let $q = du + t$ where $t \in \{0, .., d-1\}$. If $t \neq 0$, then one can easily verify that

$$\frac{q(d-1)}{d} < q - \frac{q-t}{d} < \frac{q(d-1)}{d} + 1 \tag{24}$$

Therefore,
$\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) \neq 0$ if and only if $k \in \{q - \frac{q-t}{d} + r \,|\, r \in \{0, \ldots, \frac{q-t}{d} - 1\}\}$.

However, if $t = 0$, it follows that $\frac{q(d-1)}{d} = q - \frac{q}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consequently $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) \neq 0$ if and only if $k \in \{q - \frac{q}{d} + r \,|\, r \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q}{d} - 1\}\}$

Assume now that $t \neq 0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) &= b^e \sum_{k=q-\frac{q-t}{d}}^{q-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q - d_j q + d_j k) \\
&= b^e \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{q-t}{d}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q - d_j q + d_j (r + q - \frac{q-t}{d})) \\
&= b^e \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{q-t}{d}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (d_j r + \frac{q(d-d_j)}{d} + \frac{d_j t}{d})
\end{aligned}$$

Recall by Lemma 7.3 that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (d_j r + \frac{q(d-d_j)}{d} + \frac{d_j t}{d}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{q^j}{d^j} W_j^{(n)} r^{n-j} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) r^c \tag{25}$$

where $g_c^{(n)}(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree $n-1-c$ for all $0 \leq c \leq n-1$. Set $\delta = \frac{q-t}{d} - 1$. By Faulhaber's formula [CG96], if s is a positive integer, we get the following polynomial in δ of degree $s+1$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\delta} r^s = \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{j=0}^{s} (-1)^j \binom{s+1}{j} B_j \delta^{s+1-j} \tag{26}$$

where B_j are Bernoulli numbers, $B_0 = 1$ and $B_1 = \frac{-1}{2}$. This makes

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\delta} r^s = \frac{q^{s+1}}{(s+1)d^{s+1}} + V_s(q) \tag{27}$$

where $V_s(q)$ is a polynomial of degree s in q. From Faulhaber's formula and the equations (25), and (27), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) &= b^e \sum_{r=0}^{\delta} [\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{q^j}{d^j} W_j^{(n)} r^{n-j} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) r^c] \\
&= b^e [\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{q^j}{d^j} W_j^{(n)} \sum_{r=0}^{\delta} r^{n-j} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) \sum_{r=0}^{\delta} r^c] \\
&= b^e [\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{q^j}{d^j} W_j^{(n)} (\frac{q^{n-j+1}}{(n-j+1)d^{n-j+1}} + V_{n-j}(q)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) (\frac{q^{c+1}}{(c+1)d^{c+1}} + V_c(q))] \\
&= \frac{b^e q^{n+1}}{d^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{W_j^{(n)}}{n-j+1} + b^e [\sum_{j=0}^{n} V_{n-j}(q) \\
&\quad + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) \frac{q^{c+1}}{(c+1)d^{c+1}} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) V_c(q)]
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^{n} V_{n-j}(q)$ and $\sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) \frac{q^{c+1}}{(c+1)d^{c+1}} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) V_c(q)$ are polynomials in $q = p^e$ of degree n and $n-1$ respectively, it follows that

$$\lim_{e\to\infty} \frac{1}{b^e p^{e(n+1)}} b^e [\sum_{j=0}^{n} V_{n-j}(q) + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) \frac{q^{c+1}}{(c+1)d^{c+1}} + \sum_{c=0}^{n-1} g_c^{(n)}(q) V_c(q)] = 0$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{e\to\infty} \frac{1}{b^e p^{e(n+1)}} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) = \frac{1}{d^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{W_j^{(n)}}{n-j+1}$$

By equation (20) and the above equation we conclude that the F-signature of the ring $R^\bigstar$ is given by

$$\mathbb{S}(R^\bigstar) = \frac{2}{d^{n+1}} \Big[\frac{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}{n+1} + \frac{W_1^{(n)}}{n} + \dots + \frac{W_s^{(n)}}{n-s+1} + \dots + \frac{W_{n-1}^{(n)}}{2} \Big] \tag{28}$$

Second if $q = du$, then $\frac{q(d-1)}{d} = q - \frac{q}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consequently

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow k \in \{q - \frac{q}{d} + r \,|\, r \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{q}{d} - 1\}\}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \sharp(Cok_S(A^k), R) &= b^e \sum_{k=q-\frac{q}{d}+1}^{q-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q - d_j q + d_j k) \\
&= b^e \sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q}{d}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q - d_j q + d_j (r + q - \frac{q}{d})) \\
&= b^e \sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q}{d}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (d_j r + \frac{q(d - d_j)}{d})
\end{aligned}$$

By an argument similar to the above argument, we conclude the same result that

$$\mathbb{S}(R^{\bigstar}) = \frac{2}{d^{n+1}} \Big[\frac{d_1 d_2 \ldots d_n}{n+1} + \frac{W_1^{(n)}}{n} + \cdots + \frac{W_s^{(n)}}{n-s+1} + \cdots + \frac{W_{n-1}^{(n)}}{2} \Big] \tag{29}$$

□

8. The F-signature of $\frac{S[\![z]\!]}{(f+z^2)}$ when f is a monomial

We will keep the same notation as in notation 4.1 unless otherwise stated.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $f = x_1^{d_1} \ldots x_n^{d_n}$ be a monomial in $S = K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$ where d_j is a positive integer for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ and K is a field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ with $[K : K^p] < \infty$. Let $R = S/fS$ and $R^\sharp = S[\![z]\!]/(f + z^2)$. It follows that:*
1) If $d_j = 1$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, then $\mathbb{S}(S[\![z]\!]/(f + z^2)) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.
2) If $d = \max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} \geq 2$, then $\mathbb{S}(S[\![z]\!]/(f + z^2)) = 0$.

Proof. Set $[K : K^p] = b$ and recall from the notation 4.1 that Λ_e is the basis of K as K^q-vector space. We know by lemma 4.13 that

$$F_*^e(R^\sharp) = \mathrm{Cok}_{S[\![z]\!]} \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{q-1}{2}} & -zI \\ zI & A^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } A = M_S(f, e)$$

It follows from Corollary 3.14 that

$$\sharp(F_*^e(R^\sharp), R^\sharp) = \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^{\frac{q-1}{2}}), R) + \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^{\frac{q+1}{2}}), R) \tag{30}$$

Let $k \in \{\frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}\}$ and set $N_j(k) := \{\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, d_j(q-k) \leq \alpha_j < q\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Using the same argument that was previously used in the proof of Proposition 7.4, it follows that

(31)
$$\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) = b^e|N_1(k)||N_2(k)|\dots|N_n(k)| = b^e \prod_{j=1}^{n}(q - d_j q + d_j k)$$

Now if $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n = 1$, it follows from equation (31) that $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) = b^e k^n$ for $k \in \{\frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}\}$. Therefore, the equation (30) implies that

$$\sharp(F_*^e(R^\sharp), R^\sharp) = b^e[(\frac{q-1}{2})^n + (\frac{q+1}{2})^n] \tag{32}$$

and consequently

$$\mathbb{S}(R^\sharp) = \lim_{e\to\infty} \sharp(F_*^e(R^\sharp), R^\sharp)/b^e p^{en} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$

Now let $d_i = \max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. First assume that $d_i = 2$. If $k = \frac{q-1}{2}$, it follows that $d_i(q-k) > q$ and consequently $|N_i(k)| = 0$. The equation (31) implies $\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) = 0$. When $k = \frac{q+1}{2}$, we get that $d_i(q-k) = q-1$ and consequently $N_i(k) = \{q-1\}$ which makes $|N_i(k)| = 1$. Notice that when $k = \frac{q+1}{2}$ and $d_j = 1$, it follows that $|N_j(k)| = \frac{q+1}{2}$. As a result, if $k = \frac{q+1}{2}$, we conclude that

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^k), R) = b^e|N_1(k)||N_2(k)|\dots|N_n(k)| \leq b^e(\frac{q+1}{2})^{n-1}$$

Therefore,

$$\sharp(F_*^e(R^\sharp), R^\sharp) = \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^{\frac{q-1}{2}}), R) + \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^{\frac{q+1}{2}}), R) \leq b^e(\frac{q+1}{2})^{n-1}$$

As a result,

$$\mathbb{S}(R^\sharp) = \lim_{e\to\infty} \sharp(F_*^e(R^\sharp), R^\sharp)/b^e p^{en} = 0$$

Second assume that $d_i > 2$. In this case, for every $k \in \{\frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}\}$, it follows that $d_i(q-k) > q$ and consequently $|N_i(k)| = 0$. Therefore

$$\sharp(F_*^e(R^\sharp), R^\sharp) = \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^{\frac{q-1}{2}}), R) + \sharp(\mathrm{Cok}_S(A^{\frac{q+1}{2}}), R) = 0$$

and consequently

$$\mathbb{S}(R^\sharp) = \lim_{e\to\infty} \sharp(F_*^e(R^\sharp), R^\sharp)/b^e p^{en} = 0$$

Notice that when $d = \max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} > 2$, we can prove that $\mathbb{S}(R^\sharp) = 0$ using Fedder's Criteria [Fed83, Proposition 1.7]. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $S[[z]]$ and let $R^\sharp = S[[z]]/(f + z^2)$. If $d = \max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} > 2$, then $(f + z^2)^{q-1} \in \mathfrak{m}^{[q]}$ which makes, by Fedder's Criteria, $\sharp(F^e_*(R^\sharp), R^\sharp) = 0$ for all $e \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This means clearly that

$$\mathbb{S}(R^\sharp) = \lim_{e\to\infty} \sharp(F^e_*(R^\sharp), R^\sharp)/b^e p^{en} = 0$$

□

References

[AL03] I. M. Aberbach and G. J. Leuschke: *The F-signature and strong F-regularity*, Math. Res. Lett. 10 (2003), 5156. 2

[BH93] W. Bruns and J. Herzog: *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, vol. 39, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 1993. 27

[Bru07] W. Bruns: *Commutative Algebra Arising from the Anand-Dumir-Gupta Conjectures*, Proc. Int. Conf. - Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics No. 4, 2007, pp. 1-38. 27

[BG09] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze: *Polytopes, rings, and K-theory*, Springer 2009. 27

[BW13] N.R. Baeth and R. Wiegand: *Factorization theory and decomposition of modules*, Amer. Math. Monthly 120 (2013), no. 1, 3-34. 12

[CG96] J.H. Conway and R.K. Guy: *The Book of Numbers. New York*, NY: Copernicus, 1996. pp. 106-110. 32

[DQ16] H. Dao and H. Q. Quy: *On the associated primes of local cohomology*, arXiv:1602.00421. 1

[Eis80] D. Eisenbud: *Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations*, Transactions of the AMS 260 (1980), 3564. 9

[EP15] D. Eisenbud and I. Peeva: *Matrix factorizations for complete intersections and minimal free resolutions*. arXiv:1306.2615 9

[Fed83] R. Fedder: *F-purity and rational singularity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983) 461480 36

[Gil84] R. Gilmer: *Commutative Semigroup Rings*. U. Chicago Press (1984). 28

[HL02] C. Huneke and G. Leuschke: *Two theorems about maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Ann. 324 (2002), no. 2, 391404. 2

[KSSZ13] M. Katzman, K. Schwede, A. K. Singh, and W. Zhang: *Rings of Frobenius operators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **157** (2014), no. 1, 151–167. 1

[LR74] L. S. Levy and J. C. Robson: *Matrices and pairs of modules*, J. Algebra 29 (1974), 427-454. 8

[LW12] G. Leuschke and R. Wiegand: *Cohen-Macaulay Representations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. 9, 11, 23, 28

[Mat86] H. Matsumura: *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. 8

[Sei97] G. Seibert: *The Hilbert-Kunz function of rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type*, Arch. Math. 69 (1997), 286-296. 1

[SV97] K. Smith, and M. Van den Bergh: *Simplicity of rings of differential operators in prime characteristic*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 75 (1997), no. 1, 32-62. 1, 28

[Shi11] T. Shibuta: *One-dimensional rings of finite F-representation type*, Journal of Algebra, 15 April 2011, Vol. 332(1), pp. 434–441 3

[TT08] S. Takagi,and R. Takahashi: *D-modules over rings with finite F-representation type Math.* Res. Lett. 15 (2008), no. 3. 563-581. 3

[Tu12] K. Tucker: *F-signature exists*, Invent. Math. 190 (2012), no. 3, 743-765. 2

[Yosh90] Y. Yoshino: *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. 11

[Yao05] Y. Yao: *Modules with finite F-representation type*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 72 (2005), no. 1, 53-72 1, 3

[Yao06] Y.Yao: *Observations on the F-signature of local rings of characteristic p*, J. Algebra 299 (2006), no. 1, 198-218. 2

E-mail address: kaalhazmy1@sheffield.ac.uk

School of Mathematics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom

E-mail address: m.katzman@sheffield.ac.uk

School of Mathematics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom